IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.707 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL (L) NO.170 of 2006
FROM
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2873 OF 2001
IN
SUIT NO 2606 OF 1999

Munesh Ralhan Alias Ricky Ralhan .. Applicant

V/s

Manorama Ralhan & Anr. .. Respondents

Sou.Manorama Mohoanty i/b. S.K.Shrivastava & Co. for the Applicant.
Ms.Simeen Shaikh for Respondent No.1.
Shri.M.P.S.Rao i/b. Desai & Diwanji for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 10TH MARCH, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned Counsel for the Respondent. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 45 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause(a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)